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## This unit

- Clitic and affix person marker paradigms
- Argument indexing
- Clitic placement
- Clitic and affix person marker combinations
- Exercises


## 1. Clitic and affix person markers in Kurdish

- Person marking paradigms in Central Kurdish (note "-" vs. "=")

- Verbal PMs historical Iranian agreement markers
- Pronominal clitics historical Iranian bound pronouns - mobile


## 2. Argument indexing in CK

- Present tense is typical nominative accusative
- Past tense: morphological ergative
Present

Verbal PMs
S-past
(1a) $h \bar{a} t-i \bar{n}$
come.PST-1PL
'We came.'

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (1b) |  |  |
| hïč | kes $\quad \check{r} \bar{a}=y$-ne-de-girt-im |  |
| no | person | PVB=3sG-NEG-IPFV-keep.PST-1SG |
|  | 'Nobody would let me in (their house).' |  |

Clitic PMs

| A-past |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (2a)xezīne-ī pādšā=yān | tātān | kird-bū |  |
| treasure-EZ | king=3PL | pillage | do.PST-COP.PST |
|  | 'They had pillaged the king's treasure.' |  |  |

(2b) qerewoł-ān kut=yān
guard-PL say.PST=3pL
'The guards said'

## 3. Clitic placement

- Placement Principle: First phrase or stressed element in the VP
(3) Hierarchy of hosts:

Preverbal elements (Phrases, Preps, Nominal elements of compound verbs)
Pre-stem morphemes (Negation, Aspect)
Verb stem (bare or with inflection)

- Placement Principle: First phrase or stressed element in the VP

| (4a) |  | tātān | $k^{\text {irdu}}$-ee] ${ }_{V P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1PL treasure-EZ king=1PL:A | pillaged | do.PST.PTCP-PERF |
|  | 'We have pillaged the king's treasure.' |  |  |
| (4b) | $j \bar{a} \quad\left[[l \bar{e}]^{\text {Prep }}=m \bar{a} n\right.$ | $k i r i m]_{V P}$ |  |
|  | DM from=1PL:A | buy.PST |  |
|  | 'Then we bought (it) from him.' |  |  |
| (4c) | ìdi [na=mān-xwārd] ${ }_{\text {VP }}$ |  |  |
|  | anymore NEG=1PL:A-eat.PST |  |  |
|  | 'We didn't eat it anymore.' |  |  |
| (4d) |  |  |  |
|  | yesterday do.PST=1PL:A |  |  |
|  | 'We did (it) yesterday.' |  |  |

## 4. Clitic and affix ordering in combinations

- When the verb stem is the only element in VP it hosts both Amarking clitic and O-marking verbal affix person marker

| nārd=yān-im | bo | ēre |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| send.PST=3PL-1SG | to | here |
| 'They sent me to here.' |  |  |

- The order is:
Host=Pronominal.clitic-Verbal.affix
- But according to theory it should have been:
Host-Affix=Clitic
- Three crosslinguistic criteria of clitichood:

1) Occur external to affixes (not internal to them)
2) Non-phonological integration
3) Mobility - attachment to hosts of different categories

- See Anderson (2005), Siewierska (2004), Halpern (2000)
- Paradigm of girtin 'to keep' with 1PL A

|  |  | Stem | A | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SG | 1 | girt | $=\boldsymbol{m} \bar{n} \boldsymbol{n}$ | -- |
|  | 2 | girt | $=\boldsymbol{m} \bar{a} \boldsymbol{n}$ | $-\overline{-}$ |
|  | 3 | girt | $=\boldsymbol{m} \bar{n} \boldsymbol{n}$ | $-\varnothing$ |
| PL | 1 | girt | $=\boldsymbol{m a} \boldsymbol{n}$ | -- |
|  | 2 | girt | $=\boldsymbol{m a ̄} \boldsymbol{n}$ | - -in |
|  | 3 | girt | $=\boldsymbol{m a ̄} \boldsymbol{n}$ | - -in |

- The order is however reverse with a 3SG Agent
- The paradigm of the verb birdin 'to take' with 3SG Agent

- Two questions

1. How to account for the clitics' occurring before affixes?
2. Why is the ordering with a 3SG pronominal clitic idiosyncratic?

## Existing accounts

- Haig (2008): problem
- Samvelian (2007): Both paradigms are affixes - no conceptual

Person-role constraint (Inverse system) problem

## Proposed analysis: Öpengin (2013)

- Pronominal clitics are clitics, not affixes:
o Mobility - varied host selection
o Non-phonological integration
- But verbal affix person markers are "clitics"
o Non-phonological integration:
They do not form a phonological/prosodic word with their host
o They cause alternation in the stress pattern of their host
- Stress pattern in Kurdish: final syllable

| hawīn | [ha.'win] | 'summer' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| getāwird | [ge.ła.'wird] | 'tiny leaf' |

- Affixes do not cause alternation in the stress pattern
- Definiteness -eke
- Plural -an
- Participle -ū
- Pluperfect formative -bū

| Syllabic | Morphemic | Gloss | Translation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ti.rē.ye.'ke | tirē-eke | grape-DEF | 'the grape' |
| kē.'lān | kē-lān | gravestone-PL | 'gravestones' |
| mir.'dū | mird- $\bar{u}$ | die.PST-PTCP | 'dead' |
| kir.'dū.e | kird- $\bar{u} w-e$ | do.PST-PTCP-COP.3SG | 'has done' |

- Verbal affix person (agreement) markers used in the present tense do not cause alternation in the stress pattern

| Syllabic | Morphemic | Gloss | Translation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dē.'nim | de-hēn-im | IND-bring.PRS-1sG | 'I shall bring (it).' |
| de.zā.'nī | de-zān-īn | IND-know.PRS-2sG | 'You know (it).', |
| de.zā.'nē | de-zān-ē | IND-know.PRS-3SG | 'S/he know (it).' |
| de.'keyn | de-ke-yn | IND-do.PRS-1PL | 'We shall do ...' |
| de.gi.'rin | de-gir-in | IND-keep.PRs-3PL | 'They keep ...' |

- However, verbal affix person markers used with past stems do cause alternation in the stress pattern - to penultimate stress

| Syllabic | Morphemic | Gloss | Translation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'nūs.tim | nūst-im | sleep.PST-1SG | 'I slept.' |
| gē.'r̄ā.me.we | gēr̄ā-m-ewe | relate.PST-1SG:R-ASP | 'He narrated it to me' |
| de.'gir.tī | de-girt- $\bar{i}$ | IPFV-keep.PST-2SG:R | 'I would respect you' |
| kir.'dū.wim | kird-ūw-im | do.PST-PTCP-1SG:0 | 'You invited me' |

- Verbal person markers with past stem are thus not affix-like, because they don't form one phonological word with their host.
- Diachronic support: they result from contraction of copular base $h a-$ and original verbal affix person markers (i.e. univerbation)
- Account:
o If verbal person markers are clitic in this regard, there is no issue with their being separated from their host for the placement of pronominal clitics
- Why pronominal clitics come first in combinations of pronominal clitics and verbal person markers?
$\square$ Argument hierarchy: A>O
$\square$ Clitic Placement Principle: First Phonological Phrase in VP


## Q2. Idiosyncratic ordering with 3SG A

- Except with a 3SG A-marking pronominal clitic, the order is clitic first verbal person marker second

```
    bird=yan-im
    take.pst=3PL-3SG 'They took me'
    bird-im=ī
    take.pst-1SG=3SG 'He took me'
```

- If both person marker categories are clitics, and A-marking clitic comes first, why is the order idiosyncratically reversed with 3SG A-marking clitic?
- Analysis:
o Order is reversed to maintain phonological and morphological identity of the PMs in combination
- Obligatory Contour Principle (Yip 1998): phonological constraints that require the elements in combination to be distinct.
- Paradigmatically expected (hypothetical) combinations of A- and

O-marking person markers

|  |  | A | 0 | =A=0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SG | 1 | =1 | -m | īm |
|  | 2 | =1 | -i | - ìy |
|  | 3 | =1 | -ø | i/īy |
| PL | 1 | = | -in | īn |
|  | 2 | =1 | -n | ìn |
|  | 3 | = | -n | īn |

- Combinations monosyllabic units
- They resemble single forms, e.g. $=\bar{n} n$ is also 1 PL verbal person marker
- Identity of markers is blurred
- Argument roles encoded by PMs are ambiguous or not expressed
- These fatal violations are avoided by reversing the order
- Reversed (actual) combinations of 3SG A clitic with verbal markers

|  |  | 0 | $A$ | $=A=0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SG | 1 | $-i m$ | $=\bar{i}$ | imī |
|  | 2 | $-i t$ | $=\bar{i}$ | itī |
|  | 3 | $-\emptyset$ | $=\bar{i}$ | $\bar{i}$ |
| PL | 1 | $-i \bar{n}$ | $=\bar{i}$ | īnī |
|  | 2 | $-n$ | $=\bar{i}$ | inī |
|  | 3 | $-n$ | $=\bar{i}$ | inī |

- Combinations are bi-syllabic units
- Clitic and verbal markers have distinct forms
- Argument roles are unambiguously expressed


## 5. Exercises

1. According to clitic placement hierarchy, where should the 3SG A clitic $=\overline{=}$ land in the following sentences?
1) | kābrā | kālek-ek-an | frošt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fellow melon-DEF-PI | sell.PST |  |

'The man sold the melons.'
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