

glottothèque languages of Anatolia, Caucasus, Iran, Mesopotamia



# Turkic in the LACIM area

### Morphology, morphophonology and sound harmony

Christiane Bulut

University of Cyprus

Nicosia, March 14, 2022

visit glottothèque at: https://spw.uni-goettingen.de/projects/lacim/

# Morphology

#### Some typological characteristics of the Turkic languages

With regard to their structural properties, the Turkic languages are rather conservative; even geographically distant representatives are very similar to each other. On the other hand, changes of characteristic properties may develop under the influence of structurally different languages.

- In Turkic languages that display very strong contactinfluenced structural changes,
  - o the **morphological skeleton** remains relatively stable,
  - while **phonology and syntax** are more accessible to contact influences.

## An agglutinative language

Turkic represents the agglutinative type of languages. Verb stems and noun stems combine with suffixes. The relationship between stems and their suffixes can be compared to a train: While the stem is the locomotive, each separate wagon attached to it carries one suffix.

- Despite some minor phonological changes ('allomorphs'), the suffixes (wagons) preserve their form within clear-cut morpheme borders:
- They are attached to each other, but they don't merge.
- As a rule, each suffix is carrying a distinct morpho-syntactic function.
- With the exception of some lexicalized combinations, the meaning formed by longer trains is the result of the combination of the individual contents of stem and wagons.

Compare this orderly Turkic arrangement to the picture of a, for instance, Indo-European train: Two locomotives (past and present stems), wagons in front (prefixes) and behind (suffixes) the respective locomotive, some wagons crushed and merged into unrecognizable forms (irregular verbs) etc.

## An agglutinative language

#### Derivational suffixes allow to build

- denominal nouns or denominal verb stems on the basis of noun stems
- deverbal nouns (verbal nouns) and deverbal verbs (diathesis) on the basis of verb stems

**Noun stems** combine with plural + possessive + case suffixes, and (if needed) + postpositions ('locatives') -- in this order; see, for instance, the complex form *bpbp-lar-imiz-a doyru* (< forefather + plural marker + possessive suffix 1PL + dative + postposition) 'towards our forefathers'.

(SE Anatolian pronunciation, given In IPA)

bobo	+lar	+imiz	+a	doyru
father	PL	POSS1PL	DAT	POSP

## An agglutinative language

Verb stems combine with one or more suffixes denoting

- diathesis (reciprocal/cooperative, causative/factitive, passive, or reflexive);
- negation;
- thematic suffixes (tense/mood/actionality/viewpoint);
- *and* the suffix indicating person and number of the actant.

Due to the agglutinative structure of Turkic, there are almost no periphrastic constructions consisting of semantic verb + auxiliary to indicate diathesis, modality, or actionality.

Example: **Gör –üş –tür -ebil –ecek –ler mi**? 'Will they be able to make them see each other?'

(Ex. from Standard Turkish)

	G	<b>F</b>	G	6	G	6
gör	-üş	-tür	- <u>ebil</u>	- <u>ecek</u>	-ler	mi?
stem 'to see'	diathesis 1: reciprocal	diathesis 2: causatixe /factitixe	modal: possibility	prospective /'future'	person marker: 3rd <u>plural</u>	question particle
allomorphs	{-14š}	{-DI4r}	{-A <sup>2</sup> bil-}	$\{-(y)A^2cA^2K\}$	{-1A2r}	{mI4}

## Morphophonology and sound harmony

## Suprasegmental sound harmony

**Connectivity between stem and suffixes** is additionally marked by phonological rules of sound harmony: Stem and suffixes display the same qualities of sounds. According to the color of the locomotive, the whole train may either display light colors (+front vowels: i, ä, ö, ü) or darker colors (back vowels: a, ï, o, u).

#### There are 2 types of vowel harmony:

- Palatal harmony: {A<sup>2</sup>} = [ä, a] Suffixes of the first type consider only the feature +front or +back. If the preceding syllable contains a front vowel (i, ä, ö, ü), the suffix vowel will be {ä}, while after back vowels (a, ï, o, u) the same suffix displays {a}. These suffixes have 2 variants/allomorphs.
- {**I**<sup>4</sup>} = [**i**, **ü**, **ï**, **u**] the second type of harmony additionally considers the feature +labialization/+rounding and has 4 =high variants:
- After +front/-rounded vowels (ä, i), the suffix vowel is {i},
- after +front/+rounded (ö, ü) it is {ü}.
- After +back/-rounded vowels (a, i), the suffix vowel is {i},
- after +back/+rounded (o, u) it is {u}.

Suprasegmental sound harmony

Again: 2-fold suffixes/allomorphs (+/-front)

4-fold suffixes/allomorphs (+/- front, +/- rounded)

REMEMBER: Suffix vowels display reduced pronunciations; as a rule, they are pronounced shorter and therefore more centralized.

	Front Unrounded Rounde			Back				
			Rounded		Unrounded		Rounded	
Vowels	<b>ä</b> [ε]	<b>i</b> [i]	ü [y]	<b>ö</b> [œ]	a [a]	ï [ɨ]	<b>u</b> [u]	<b>o</b> [ɔ]
<b>Twofold {-A</b> ² <b>} = &lt; ä , a&gt;</b> (unrounded open)	ä			а				
<b>Fourfold {-I</b> <sup>4</sup> <b>} = <i, u="" ï,="" ü,=""></i,></b> (high rounded/unrounded)	i			ü		ï		u

## Deviations in supra-segmental harmony

Across the areal, both palatal and labial types of harmony deviate from this *ideal model*. The reasons for these deviations are various:

- Under the influence of contact languages, the quality of Turkic vowels may change, creating **borderline cases** between the sets of +/-front or back vowels, such as, e.g. the **near open** *à* [æ].
- While palatal harmony is a relatively early development across Turkic languages, **labial harmony has developed at some later stage**. Certain suffixes of the spoken varieties display an older stage of the phonological development that does not allow for labial allomorphs.
- The so-called **unique suffixes** have preserved an archaic form and don't display allomorphs or harmony at all.
- In some cases, the grammaticalization of former auxiliaries to suffixes is less developed.

## ... and sound harmony reflected in real life

Let us look at a complex verb form from South Oghuz, pronunced as:

[*aˈgar*] *dån-ıš-dur-ma-sa-youz* '[If] you don't make (her) speak, ...' (147: 33):



- The stem *dånı* combines with the reciprocal/cooperative suffix in {-(I<sup>4</sup>)š}; the combination of which is lexicalized with the meaning 'to speak (with each other)'.
- The suffix {-DI<sup>4</sup>r} signalizes causative/factitive 'to make someone else (speak)';
- {-mA<sup>2</sup>} is the negative suffix,
- followed by the conditional in {-sA<sup>2</sup>},
- and the personal suffix of the 2<sup>nd</sup> PL in {-(y)ouz/i:z}.
- Conditionality is sufficiently expressed by the conditional/potential suffix in {-sA<sup>2</sup>}; it may also be emphasized by the conjunction *agar*, which is a copy from Iranian.

(-> To compare this form with its StT equivalent in the 2<sup>nd</sup> line; see: Exercise on morphophonology!)

### this lecture

is part of the series *Glottothèque: Languages of the Anatolia, Caucasus, Iran, Mesopotamia; grammatical snippets online*, ed. by. C. Bulut, A. Donabédian-Demopoulos, G. Haig, G. Khan, P. Samvelian, S. Skopeteas, N. Sumbatova. Bamberg/Cambridge/Göttingen/Moskow/Nicosia/Paris: LACIM network.

